Carolyn Casey

To: TCharlton@macdermid.com, kmclarke@loureiro.com

06/09/03-09:43-AM Subject: MacDermid Els

biect: MacDermid Fls

Regarding the following previous message: I am trying to verify one addition to comment 14 regarding the calculations for alternate surface water protection criteria. I am trying to verify how non-carcinogens should be treated. 1.4 x7Q10 (approximately equal to a 30Q5) or is it 3x7Q10 as with carcinogens. I am getting conflicting information.

I spoke with Traci again today and she said 2 x 7Q10 is what they used to derive the RSR SWPC for non-carcinogens and this is currently what they would be looking for if the calculations were to be submitted to CTDEP for a final remedy. This is not the same as calculating a 70 mean harmonic flow as for carcinogens since for non-carcinogens, a shorter exposure duration is looked at.

It makes sense to be consistent with what the DEP is looking for so please use the 2 x 7Q10 for non-carcinogens and

 $3 \times 7Q10$  for carcinogens. She also suggested that these calculations be submitted to DEP for their review and approval sooner than later if the intent is to use this for final remedy too (not just for Els).

Thanks Carolyn

RCRA RECORDS CENTER

LP. NO. CTDODILG4599 FIRE CO. R-13

---- Forwarded by Carolyn Casey/R1/USEPA/US on 05/09/03 02:44 PM -----

**Carolyn Casey** 

To: TCharlton@macdermid.com, kmclarke@loureiro.com

05/01/03 10:51 AM

Subject: MacDermid Els

A hard copy is in the mail. Two different formated files are attached of same letter/comments.

Ernie Waterman and I would like to plan a site visit/meeting to discuss some of the comments.

Can we try to schedule something before the end on May. Thanks

Carolyn

Elrev2.wpd Elrev2.doc

RDMS DocID

00100805